# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	10.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/720,920		11/24/2003	Craig L. Reding	03-1024	5236
32127	7590	11/14/2006		EXAMINER	
VERIZO			PATEL, HEMANT SHANTILAL		
PATENT MANAGEMENT GROUP 1515 N. COURTHOUSE ROAD, SUITE 500			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ARLINGTON, VA 22201-2909				2614	
				B. ## 14.11. ## 1.11.4.##	

DATE MAILED: 11/14/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/720,920	REDING ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Hemant Patel	2614				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SH WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANS IN THE MAILING DANS IN THE MAILING DANS IN THE MAILING DANS IN THE MONTHS From the mailing date of this communication. Depriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be the string and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDON	N. imely filed in the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
2a)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>25 Sec</u> This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pr					
Dispositi	ion of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 1-14 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.					
Applicati	on Papers						
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 2.	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. So ion is required if the drawing(s) is o	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>							
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4)					
3) Infor	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:					

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. The Applicant Response dated September 25, 2006 to an Office Action dated May 30, 2006 is entered. Claims 1-14 are pending in this application.

### Response to Amendment

2. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-14 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 4. Claims 1-3, 5-8, 10-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Lazaridis (US Patent No. 6,463,464 B1).

**Regarding claim 1,** Lazaridis discloses a method for providing a notification to a preferred communication device of a plurality of communication devices associated with a user, wherein each of the communication devices can be designated as the preferred communication device, the method comprising:

receiving, at a server, a notification from one of the communication devices indicating that incoming data has been received at the one communication device (col. 8, II. 43-47, send the message back over the LAN, WAN and gateway); and

Art Unit: 2614

transmitting the received notification to the preferred communication device (col. 8, II. 43-62, delivering repackaged email to mobile device).

**Regarding claim 2,** Lazaridis discloses a method of notification of email, which inherently is stored in the email server database until it is delivered.

**Regarding claim 3,** Lazaridis discloses selecting the device from wirelessly enabled hand-held computer, palm-top computer, a mobile phone or laptop (col. 6, ll. 38-44), and also FAX machine, a printer, voice mail (col. 6, ll. 14-37).

Regarding claim 5, Lazaridis discloses the redirecting message and data from office computer to mobile device (col. 5, II. 44-49) and also redirecting mobile device (another one of the communication device) data notifications to FAX, printer or voicemail (col. 6, II. 14-37; this device information is configured at the host system defined as the system performing redirection program, and this system is also network server col. 58-65; also col. 12, II. 17-31).

Regarding claim 6, Lazaridis discloses the redirecting of message and data based on selected senders (col. 12, II. 15-16).

**Regarding claim 7,** Lazaridis discloses that the notification triggers to begin redirection of notifications are based on calendar event (col. 11, II. 35-37, II. 43-46).

Regarding claim 8, Lazaridis discloses selecting the preferred communication device (col. 6, II. 38-44) based on calendar event (time of day, col. 11, II. 35-37, II. 43-46) or a sender (calling party) (col. 12, II. 15-16).

Art Unit: 2614

Regarding claim 10, it recites an apparatus performing functionality substantially same as the method claimed in claim 1. Lazaridis discloses such a system (Fig. 1). Refer to rejection for claim 1.

Regarding claim 11, Lazaridis discloses storing of the preferred list of user-selected senders whose messages are to be redirected (col. 12, II. 15-16).

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 5. Claims 13-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Saylor (US Patent No. 6,661,340 B1).

**Regarding claim 13,** Saylor discloses a method for providing a notification to a preferred communication device of a plurality of communication devices associated with a user, the method comprising:

receiving, at a network device (Fig. 1, item 130; central security server); information from a non-preferred one of the communication devices (control panel from one of the control panels of the properties of a user) indicating a call has been received at the non-preferred communication device (col. 5, II. 17-30; wireless data call communication from alarm systems of sensors) and identifying the preferred communication device (col. 6, II. 56-col. 7, II. 15, notifying different entity device based on alarm condition in notification);

generating a notification corresponding to the received information (col. 7, II. 7-15; special instructions based on alarm notification); and

transmitting the notification from the network device to the identified preferred communication device (col. 7, II. 7-15; sending notifications);

**Regarding claim 14,** it recites an apparatus performing functionality substantially same as the method claimed in claim 13. Saylor discloses such a system (Fig. 1). Refer to rejection for claim 13.

6. Claims 4, 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as anticipated by Lazaridis as applied to claims 1, 11 above or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Lee (US Patent No. 6,161,008).

**Regarding claim 4,** Lazaridis discloses the use of calendar and programmable timer to start redirection of configured events (col. 3, II. 14-41; col. 11, II. 35-48; these are provided by host system and host system is defined as the system performing redirection program, and this system is also network server col. 2, II. 58-65).

Lazaridis is silent on explicitly specifying the time period.

However, in the same field of endeavor, Lee teaches of user providing a selection of a time period during which notifications are provided to the preferred devices (col. 14, II. 9-39).

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Lazaridis to allow user to specify time period and

Art Unit: 2614

corresponding device where he/she can be reached as taught by Lee so that the user does not miss expected important communication.

Regarding claim 12, refer to rejection for claim 11 and claim 4.

7. Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as anticipated by Lazaridis as applied to claim 1 above or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Skidmore (US Patent Application Publication No. 2003/0036380 A1).

**Regarding claim 9,** Lazaridis discloses supporting any device capable of sending and receiving any data communication messages inherently suggesting the support for SMS (col. 6, II. 38-44).

Lazaridis is silent on explicitly specifying the use of SMS.

However, in the same field of communication, Skidmore teaches of using SMS as notification message using email server for redirecting message from the home PC (Paragraph 0012).

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Lazaridis to use SMS messages as taught by Skidmore in order to communicate with a mobile device using a ubiquitous short message service with a fixed cost per message.

#### Conclusion

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

US Patent No. 7,076,528

Premutico

Application/Control Number: 10/720,920

Art Unit: 2614

US Patent No. 6,092,102

Wagner

US Patent Application Publication No. 2002/0046299

Lefeber

Page 7

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hemant Patel whose telephone number is 571-272-8620. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 AM - 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Fan Tsang can be reached on 571-272-7547. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Hemant Patel Examiner Art Unit, 2614

HSP

HERL

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TOWNOLOGY CENTER 2600